GUIDANCE ON CONTROL OF EXPOSURES RELATED TO USE OF CARBON TETRACHLORIDE SOLVENT SUBSTITUTES IN INDUSTRIAL ELECTRICAL CONTACT CLEANING APPLICATIONS











Department of Environmental Health Engineering
Sri Ramachandra University
Porur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

and

Deutsche Gesellschaft Für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH (German Technical Cooperation), GTZ- Proklima A-33 Gulmohar Park, New Delhi – 110 049, India







November 2009

GUIDANCE ON CONTROL OF EXPOSURES RELATED TO USE OF CARBON TETRACHLORIDE SOLVENT SUBSTITUTES IN INDUSTRIAL ELECTRICAL CONTACT CLEANING APPLICATIONS

Prepared by:

Department of Environmental Health Engineering (DEHE)

Sri Ramachandra University (SRU) Porur, Chennai - 600 116 India

and

Deutsche Gesellschaft Für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH

(German Technical Cooperation), GTZ- Proklima A-33 Gulmohar Park, New Delhi – 110 049 India

November 2009

Authors:

Sankar Sambandam, Krishnendu Mukhopadhyay, Ayyappan Ramalingam, Chittaruvikkal John Mathew, Sona Mahajan and Kalpana Balakrishnan.

DISCLAIMER

Though all care has been taken to compile the information provided in this manual, DEHE-SRU and GTZ-Proklima accept no liability related to its contents. The findings represent results of research exercises and stakeholder discussions and are not intended as applicable under all possible circumstances.

The reader is advised to confirm the product specifications and related health/environmental hazards prior to purchase or use of any of the solvents profiled. No claim is made here for the absolute suitability of any solvent as a substitute for CTC in any application. Suitability of a product or method of cleaning for a particular application would need to be verified through trials prior to any larger-scale application with due consideration of health and safety aspects.

Information provided here does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation of any brand or product by DEHE- SRU and GTZ-Proklima.

PREFACE

Carbon Tetrachloride (CTC) is widely used as a solvent in many industrial sectors in India. It is an ozone depleting substance (ODS) similar to chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). The UV-B and UV-C radiation coming from the sun interacts with CTC molecules that drift into the stratosphere and release their chlorine atoms. Each chlorine atom can destroy as many as 100,000 ozone molecules over a period of nearly 100 years. Thus, even a small amount of CTC released into the environment can cause tremendous damage to the ozone layer. Further, the global warming potential (GWP) of CTC has been estimated to be about 1,400 times higher than that of carbon dioxide (CO₂), the principal greenhouse gas. It is also hazardous to health via all routes of exposure viz., inhalation, ingestion and skin absorption. There is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals with an increasing body of evidence for being a human carcinogen.

To protect the ozone layer, India is one of the 196 signatories to the Montreal Protocol for phasing out the production and consumption of ozone depleting substances. Under this agreement India has committed to phase-out the use of CTC solvent completely by $31^{\rm st}$ December 2009.

As the phase-out is progressing, CTC supplies in the market are dwindling rapidly. Beyond 31st December 2009 CTC will not be available for use as a solvent. Given the reduction of supply, the price of CTC has risen substantially making it costlier today, than most of its alternatives.

Within the framework of the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol, the Governments of Germany and France have mandated GTZ-Proklima to provide technical assistance to CTC consuming industries in India. In addition, World Bank, UNIDO and UNDP (on behalf of the Government of Japan) are assisting India, to address this issue specifically in industry sectors with large volumes of CTC use. These activities are coordinated under the National CTC Phase-out Plan by the World Bank as the leading implementing agency and the Ozone Cell of the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.

GTZ-Proklima offers technical assistance to industries using up to 10 metric tons of CTC per year. In close interaction with these industries, GTZ-Proklima aims to provide guidance in identifying CTC substitutes by addressing environmental, health and safety concerns without compromising on quality and cost effectiveness.

The Department of Environmental Health Engineering (DEHE), Sri Ramachandra University (SRU), Chennai (India) prepared a list of nearly 500 potentially hazardous substances that

could be present in proprietary or non proprietary cleaning agents and provided the same to GTZ to enable comparisons across potential CTC substitutes. Moreover, initial assessments at different workplaces indicated the potential for occupational exposures to solvent vapours (from products currently being used as CTC substitutes). Building on these earlier efforts, the present exercise was aimed at conducting a systematic evaluation of exposures and identification of risks associated with selected CTC substitutes across a spectrum of *electrical applications across select industries* in order to provide specific recommendations for control against workplace exposures to the solvents used.

The approaches adopted for risk management in the project have a dual focus on "risk prevention" through substitution and "risk mitigation" through installation of workplace engineering controls and improving general work practices.

Recommendations presented in this manual have been largely based on walkthrough visits and analytical results of workplace exposure measurements. Participatory discussions involving employers and professional organizations were used to generate feasible toolkits that can be readily implemented. Since improved handling also minimizes emissions and exposures, this manual is expected to contribute not only in improving occupational health and safety at work, but also facilitate the implementation of the Montreal Protocol with added environmental protection benefits as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We sincerely acknowledge the contributions of Mr. Gopalaraman Swaminathan, Scientist, Central Leather Research Institute (CLRI), Chennai and Mr. Markus Wypior, Project Manager, GTZ-Proklima, for their invaluable inputs in design and implementation of the manual.

We are thankful to Dr. Ralf Steinberg, CIM expert, GTZ, Germany and Mr. Felix Nitz, Consultant GTZ-Proklima for their technical efforts and cooperation during the initial stage of the project.

We acknowledge the cooperation from industries in Barnala, Chandigarh and Bangalore in granting us access to their facilities to execute the study.

We are grateful to all GTZ-Proklima consultants (sector focal points) for their assistance with conduct of work-place assessments and discussions with stakeholders.

We are also thankful to M/S. Dew Point Appliances Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore for providing the facilities and support for carrying out simulation measurements with alternative solvents under laboratory conditions.

CONTENTS

1.	. IN	TRODUCTION	9
		ORK PRACTICES RELATED TO USE OF SOLVENTS IN THE ELECTRICAL SECTO	
	2.1.	Brushing and wiping process	10
	2.2.	Wiping process	10
	2.3.	Spraying process	11
	2.4.	Cleaning of panel contacts	11
3.	. HA	AZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT	12
4.	EX	XPOSURE ASSESSMENT AND RISK CHARACTERIZATION	14
		ONTROL GUIDANCE	
	5.1.	General Work Practice Guidelines	15
	5.2.	Process Specific Guidelines	18

ABBREVIATIONS

ACGIH American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienist

CAS Chemical Abstract Services

CFCs Chlorofluorocarbons

CIM Centre for International Migration

CTC Carbon tetrachloride

EHS Environment Health and Safety

GG Spectacles/Goggles

GL Gloves

GTZ German Technical Cooperation

GWP Global Warming Potential

IPA Isopropyl alcohol

LC50 Lethal Concentration

LD50 Lethal Dose

MDC Methylene dichloride

MSDS Material Safety Data Sheet

NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

ODS Ozone Depleting Substance

OEL Occupational Exposure Limits

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PCE Perchloroethylene

PEL Permissible Exposure Limits
PFTs Pulmonary Function Tests

PLE Permissible Limits of Exposure

PPEs Personal Protective Equipments

R Respirator

SRU Sri Ramachandra University
STEL Short Term Exposure Limit

TCLo Lowest Published Toxic Concentration

TLV Threshold Limit Value
TWA Time Weighted Average

UNDP United Nation Development Programme

UNIDO United Nation Industrial Development Organization

LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES AND BOXES

FIGURES	
Figure 1	Cleaning of electrical contact by brushing method
Figure 2	Cleaning of electrical contact by wiping with bare hands
Figure 3	Cleaning of electrical contact by wiping method using nose pliers
Figure 4	Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying method
Figure 5	Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying method
Figure 6	Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying followed by wiping method
TABLES	
Table 1	Job-hazard-risk profile
Table 2	General guidelines for managers and supervisors
Table 3	General guidelines for workers
Table 4	Job specific control guidance sheet
Table 5	Codes and types of personal protective equipments
Table 6	Data sheet for MDC
Table 7	Data sheet for Mineral Turpentine
Table 8	Data sheet for Acetone
Table 9	Data sheet for IPA
Table 10	Data sheet for PCE
BOXES	
Box 1	Optimum use of solvent and percent reduction in exposure concentration
Box 2	Instruction for reading the guidance sheet

1. INTRODUCTION

A nation's overall development is catalyzed by the optimum use of electric power and the proper usage of power is based upon the periodic maintenance of the source and allied components capable of utilizing the same. While several cleaning processes are involved in routine maintenance, electrical contact cleaning in particular plays a central role in maintaining electrical output by saving enormous wastages of electrical energy. With prolonged use, electrical contacts develop carbon deposits due to high voltage sparking at the point of contact. This deposit over electrical contact has been recognized as a known barrier against electrical supply and therefore needs to be cleaned for achieving better conductivity. A comprehensive approach towards cleaning multiple contaminants is often beneficial for the industry to enhance the efficiency of the process.

With the most widely used solvent, CTC being an Ozone depleting substance (ODS), there is now a legal obligation to use alternative cleaning agents. This manual has been prepared to provide guidance on "safe use" of potential CTC substitutes on the basis of results of workplace exposure measurements, work practice assessments and the opinion and outcome of concerned stakeholder discussions. The suggested interventions thus are likely to be both feasible and effective on a sector-wide basis to reduce occupational health risks. However, occupational health risks covered in this manual need to be matched with environmental aspects as well as cleaning efficiency and costs acceptable to the industry before adopting a product "substitute". Separate guidance on environmental and safety aspects that are general to all sectors using CTC substitutes is available at www.ctc-phaseout.org to enable the same.

2. WORK PRACTICES RELATED TO USE OF SOLVENTS IN THE ELECTRICAL SECTOR

To achieve the desired cleaning efficacy, solvents which quickly dissolve and remove oil, grease, flux, condensation and other contaminants, do not leave any residue, restore electrical continuity of contacts and effectively clean surrounding areas of electrical circuitry while preserving the surrounding insulating materials are preferred by industries.

Most of the industries use Methylene dichloride (MDC), Mineral turpentine, Acetone, Isopropyl alcohol (IPA) and Perchloroethylene (PCE) as cleaning agents for electrical contact cleaning operations. Application of solvents is based on the quality and quantity of solvents and also depends upon the cleaning process. Following are the processes involved in contact cleaning in industrial electrical applications:

2.1. Brushing and wiping process

The brushing process (**Figure 1**) is carried out using solvents like MDC, acetone, IPA, etc. for cleaning the deposit over the contacts followed by wiping of the same area either by using rags or cotton clothes. This method is often used, as solvent use is minimal perhaps reducing accompanying exposure risks.



Figure 1: Cleaning of electrical contact by brushing method

2.2. Wiping process

This process is used for cleaning the electrical contact assembled within bigger components. This is most often done with bare fingers (**Figure 2**) or by using nose pliers (**Figure 3**) or forceps. Cleaning materials such as rags and cottons are dipped directly into solvents and used without selective PPEs can major health risks for the workers. Workers prefer using their hands to allow finer manipulation required for effective cleaning.



Figure 2: Cleaning of electrical contact by wiping with bare hands



Figure 3: Cleaning of electrical contact by wiping method using nose plier

2.3. Spraying process

This process is used for cleaning the electrical contacts that are directly on the installed panels as well for cleaning dismantled components (**Figure 4**) separately. Solvent volumes are high increasing exposure potentials considerably.



Figure 4: Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying method

2.4. Cleaning of panel contacts

This process is widely used using a combination of cleaning methods like spraying, brushing and wiping (**Figure 5 & 6**). Since often the components are set up in enclosed settings, the cleaning procedures are tough with significant potentials for high exposure.



Figure 5: Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying method



Figure 6: Cleaning of electrical contact by spraying followed by wiping method

3. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT

The exposure concentration likely to be achieved with individual solvents primarily depends on physical, chemical and toxic properties, specific nature of cleaning procedures, work posture, work and hygiene practices, maintenance of machines and the workplace environmental conditions such as temperature, humidity and ventilation.

A key step in risk assessment is to recognize the job-specific exposure hazards and the associated risks. This can be done by shop floor visits/walk-through assessments, review of documents, interview with workers and supervisors and qualitative / quantitative assessments of workplace and environmental conditions. Based on a combination of such assessment methods, the following **Table 1** identifies the job-hazard-risk profile for key processes related to industrial electrical applications.

Table 1: Job-hazard-risk profile

Job	Process/Hazard	Risk
Cleaning of contacts	Brushing followed by wiping	Absorption through skin due to handling with bare hands
Cleaning of contacts	• Wiping	Absorption through skin due to handling with bare hands
Cleaning of dismantled contactor assembly	• Wiping	 Absorption through skin due to handling with bare hands Inhalation exposure during cleaning of assembly components due to high volume of solvent use
	• Spraying	Absorption through skin, eye due to solvent splash and inhalation exposure due to solvent vapours
Cleaning of Panel contacts	• Spraying	Absorption through skin, eye due to solvent splash and inhalation exposure due to solvent vapours

4. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT AND RISK CHARACTERIZATION

Exposure assessments that measure levels of solvent exposure under specific workplace conditions allow comparisons to be made with reference to exposure standards. In order to capture alternative exposure conditions or wherever measurements are difficult to perform, exposures can also be simulated under laboratory conditions to estimate potential exposures that may be encountered in the workplaces. Such exercises in this sector have shown that while MDC levels consistently exceed the permissible exposure limits in most of the cleaning process, Acetone and IPA levels are usually lower than the permissible limits whereas, Mineral turpentine and PCE were found to be in intermediate levels. By using required quantity of solvents for specific cleaning applications, exposure concentration is reduced significantly. **Box 1** emphasizes the level of reduction that can be achieved in exposure concentration by optimizing quantity of solvents.

Box 1: Optimum use of solvent and percentage reduction in exposure concentration.

Around 20 to 30 percent reductions in exposure levels are achieved through optimization of quantity of solvent use.

Results of hazard recognition and exposure assessments made at individual work locations in this sector were used to characterize risks and provide job specific recommendations for prevention and control of exposures as described in the sections below.

5. CONTROL GUIDANCE

Risk management involves application of a sequence of control measures to minimize the exposures. A typical hierarchy of controls involves hazard (i.e. solvent) substitution, engineering controls, administrative controls and personal protective measures. While exposures are central in arriving at a control strategy, often exposure reduction goals have to be matched with feasibilities based on worker preference, skills and attitudes of workers/management, workplace environmental conditions and cost of control measures.

The following sections provide general and process/work practice specific guidelines for the electrical applications in industrial sectors.

5.1. General Work Practice Guidelines

It is the employer's or the management's responsibility to provide guidance and instructions to workers on environment, health and safety (EHS) management. At the same time it is employees' responsibility to follow the instruction laid by the employer to create a healthier working environment. Although managements may have a policy that allocates resources for EHS management, it has to identify a responsible person to implement and supervise the EHS management programme. Simple generic guidelines are, therefore, separately provided for the supervisors/managers and workers to maintain minimum EHS standards. These guidelines are provided in **Tables 2** and **3**.

Table 2: General Guidelines for Managers and Supervisors

Storage and Handling

- Layout of storage facility should be planned at an early stage (Refer Figure 22 in the Part-I manual).
- Storage protocols should be prepared depending on the compatibility (Refer Figure 23 in the Part-I manual).
- MSDSs must be referred for chemical compatibility.
- In the event MSDSs are not available, information must be secured from the manufacturer/distributor.
- Adequate safety measures (First-aid, Firefighting equipments, emergency showers, PPEs etc.) must be provided in the storage facility.
- Safety equipment must be periodically checked for fitness and performance.
- Safety sign boards specific to hazards must be provided and placed at appropriate locations.
- Instructions on handling procedures must be provided to the workers.
- Expired chemicals must be returned to the manufacturer or disposed according to standard protocol.
- Empty containers must be returned immediately to the supplier or the manufacturer or disposed in a proper manner.

Labeling Personal hygiene Cleanup Labels to be prepared in local Routine implementation of clean • Practice of good language in accordance to MSDS. up schedule and procedures. hygiene practice Label must contain key Identification of a responsible amongst the information (such as and trained person for cleanup workers. Provision of hand Flammability, Health Risks, etc.) activities. Hazard symbols must be provided Planning and implementation washing facility on the label. with adequate of periodical maintenance of equipments and accessories. soaps and Caution words and statements detergents. (Danger, Toxic, Irritant, Corrosive Deployment of spill control Provision of a etc.) for hazardous chemicals procedures to prevent health need to be provided. and environmental risks. separate lunch room. Damaged or worn out labels must Provision of appropriate PPEs Provision of be replaced. during cleanup of spills. Embossed labels can be requested Provisions of proper waste adequate work cloths. from the manufacturer. disposal protocols. Provision of shower stations.

Provision of training on

- The availability and importance of MSDS.
- Obtaining MSDS from the manufacturer or competent sources (Government or Safety Agencies) in case of non availability.
- Informing the workers on the importance of MSDS.
- Procedures and protocol development for safe handling of chemicals.
- Managing emergency situations.
- Risk assessment and implementing control measures.
- Evaluation of the training programs conducted for workers.
- Selection of appropriate PPEs.
- Importance of medical fitness for wearing PPEs and selecting workers for providing PPE.

Table 3: General Guidelines for Workers

Storage and Handling

- Follow storage and handling procedures.
- If safe handling procedures are not available, request your supervisor.
- Observe safety sign boards for recognizing the hazards.
- Handle any new chemicals in the presence of Manager/Supervisor at initial stage.
- Learn to use safety equipments.
- Use protective device while handling hazardous chemicals.
- Request for PPE if not available.
- Report any damage or inconsistency of safety equipments to the Manager/supervisor.
- Report if chemicals are used beyond expiry dates.
- Place back containers at designated location after use.
- Transport the containers in closed condition.
- Close the containers with air tight fittings to prevent spoiling of chemicals.
- Store drinking water separately away from the chemical store.

Labeling Cleanup Personal hygiene Request for label and Remove dust, oils and Wash hands before eating. label information in local dirt regularly from the Use soap for washing the hands. language machines and floors. Avoid smoking and eating at Read the label before Regularly clean up at workplaces. using the chemicals. end of the shift or end Avoid placing your fingers into of the day. Care should be taken to mouth, ears and nose while handling prevent label damage Report immediately chemicals. while transferring, about the spills to Trim the nails periodically to prevent transporting and managers/supervisors. chemical accumulation. handling. Request for Dress open wounds before handling Request to your MSDS/instructions in the chemicals. manager/ supervisor for local language for spill Wear clean work clothes. replacing the damaged cleanup. Change work clothes before leaving label. Immediately clean the the workplace. spills according to the Have a shower at the end of the shift instructions and (to reduce the dermal exposures and protocol. minimize the transportation of the Wear appropriate PPEs contaminants to home) during cleaning the Clearly mark the drinking water spills. bottles and always keep them a clean place.

Provision of training on

- Recognizing and understanding the hazardous nature and risk of using chemicals.
- Importance of material safety data sheet (MSDS)
- Good housekeeping procedures (Storage, handling, labeling and cleanup of chemicals).
- Handling the chemical spills and proper disposal methods.
- Managing emergency situations using first-aid, handling fire extinguishers, communications (persons to be contacted, contact phone number) etc.
- Checking the functioning of pollution control systems.
- Incident or failure reporting systems.
- PPE use, storage, cleaning and maintenance.

5.2. Process Specific Guidelines

Although generic guidelines provide an overall framework to design and implement an EHS policy, often supervisors and managers require customized guidance that is specific for a particular work situation and process, which is provided in **Tables 4** and **5**. Each main process concerned with solvent use is provided with a set of engineering, administrative and personal protective controls applicable specifically for the nature of hazards and risks associated with the job. The guidance sheet may thus be used as a ready reckoner for implementing facility-wise or location specific controls. Instruction for using the guidance sheet is provided in **Box 2**.

Box 2: Instruction for reading the guidance sheet

For identifying job specific hazard, risk and control measures, read the guidance sheet from left to right across the row.

It must be emphasized that the guidance sheets only provide information on "safe use" of specific solvents being used in each process. Selection amongst solvent substitutes is governed by considerations that often go beyond occupational exposures. On the basis of a limited set of exposure measurements, it has been observed that in general exposure concentration of acetone is lower than IPA, Mineral Turpentine and MDC in brushing and wiping process of cleaning operations. The same trends were seen during spraying process where exposure concentration of acetone is found to be below the permissible limit and in comparison to PCE, it's exposure concentration is always lower. On the other hand, owing to higher boiling point and higher specific gravity of PCE as compared to acetone, PCE concentration may build up over time creating new risks of exposure around the workplace (PCE is also being reported as a suspected carcinogen).

Therefore, facilities are encouraged to prioritize the selected solvent against conditions required for safe use in a larger EHS (environment, health and safety) framework in order to provide best work practice in the process or sector. The information related to safe use of the solvents is provided in **Tables 6** to **10**.

Table 4: Job Specific Control Guidance Sheet

Ioh	Haranda/Calvanta	Risk/ Exposure		Control Measures	
Job	Hazards/Solvents	Situation	Engineering	Administrative	PPEs
Wiping Process: a). Dismantled contact b). Dismantled contact assembly	 MDC Mineral turpentine Acetone IPA 	• Inhalation, dermal (skin) and eye contact due to cleaning with bare hands, naked eyes, spills, open containers, waste cotton used in wiping process of cleaning and inadequate ventilation	Select a location near a window in downwind direction Cleaning area should be well ventilated. Use nose pliers or forceps for cleaning	 Use optimum quantity of solvent. Transfer the solvent into small container using funnel to avoid spillage and splash Close the solvent container after use. Do not dip the cotton directly into the container to avoid skin contact Treat solvent soaked cotton as hazardous waste Remove the spills immediately Keep solvents away from ignition sources Prohibit smoking and place visual sign boards in the workplace to avoid fire hazard 	Gloves: MDC: GL 1 Acetone: GL 2 IPA: GL 3 Spectacles/ Goggles: GG1 Use solvent resistant spectacle and power corrected if necessary. Not required if respirator (R2) is used. Respirator: Use R1 in the absence of LES

Ioh	Haranda /Calmanta	Risk/ Exposure		Control Measures	
Job	Hazards/Solvents	Situation	Engineering	Administrative	PPEs
Brushing process: a). Dismantled contact b). Dismantled contactor assembly	 MDC Mineral turpentine Acetone IPA 	Lung exposure to solvent vapours without adequate ventilation. Skin and eye contact due to solvent splash and vapour while working with bare hands, naked eyes respectively	 Process isolation along with good ventilation is essential Cleaning of panel contacts and dismantled components should be done separately Cleaning area should be well ventilated. 	 Avoid spillage and overuse of solvent during the process using the brush Optimize the solvent use during spraying process Maintenance of electrical points and installations should be carried out periodically. 	Gloves: MDC: GL 1 Acetone: GL 2 IPA: GL 3 In addition to gloves use CPC1 during panel contact cleaning. Spectacles/ Goggles: GG2 Use solvent resistant goggle and power corrected, if necessary, for dismantled component cleaning. Not required if R2 is used. Respirator: Use R2 during panel cleaning in absence of LES

Ioh	Horonda/Colventa	Risk/ Exposure		Control Measures	
Job	Hazards/Solvents	Situation	Engineering	Administrative	PPEs
Spraying process: Dismantled contact assembly	• PCE • Acetone	Lungs, skin and eye exposure due to high concentration of solvents and vapours in the absence of adequate ventilation	When a number of components are to be cleaned using large volumes of solvent, installation of Local Exhaust System (LES) is recommended. The mouth of the duct should be positioned near the emission source	 Avoid spillage and overuse of solvent during the spraying process Optimize the solvent use during spraying 	Gloves: Acetone: GL 2 PCE: GL 4 Spectacles/ Goggles: GG2 Use solvent resistant goggle and power corrected if necessary. Not required if R2 is used. Respirator: Use R2 in the absence of LES

Table 5: Codes and types of personal protective equipments

Sl. No	Code	Recommended protection	PPEs (Gloves)
1	GL 1	8 hr: Poly Vinyl alcohol	
2	GL 2	8 hr: Butyl or latex/rubber gloves	Butyl Latex or Rubber
3	GL 3	8 hr: Butyl, Nitrile, Viton,	Butyl Nitrile Viton
4	GL 4	Nitrile latex gloves	
5	CPC1 (All solvents)	Chemical protective clothing (Refer GL1 to GL 5 for type of material)	
Sl. No	Code	Recommended protection	Spectacles / Goggles
1	GG 1	Solvent resistant spectacle	
2	GG2	Solvent resistant goggle	
Sl. No	Code	Recommended protection	Respirator
1	R1	Half face respirator	
2	R2	Full face respirator	

Source for selection of gloves: OSH Technical reference material, Department of Energy, USA and Chemical Protective Clothing, National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH), USA.

Table 6: Data Sheet for Methylene Chloride

				To	xic, Chemi	cal a	nd Physi	cal pr	operti	ies			
Туре	CAS numb		LD ₅₀ and	LD_{50} and LC_{50}				r Specific re gravity		c Flash	Flammability	Dipole moment	Solvency power (Hansen parameter)
Chlorinated hydrocarbon	1 (7/5-09-7)				400	С	350mm	Hg	1.33 g/cm 3	NONE	None	1.6 Debye	20.3
					H	lealt	h guidan	ce					
Acute (Imme	ediate) e	effects	Chronic (De		Target org	_	Permiss of ex	ible lii posur		F	irst aid	Medica	al Surveillance
	effe				anectet	1	STEL	TV	NA				
eyes, skin and t tract. Exposure lowering of cor Exposure could formation of	The substance irritates the eyes, skin and the respiratory tract. Exposure could cause lowering of consciousness. Exposure could cause formation of carboxyhaemoglobin liver. This substance may specified by the contact with sking cause dermatitic substance may substan				Eyes, skin, cardiovasco system, central nervous system		125**	25** 50***	**	Skin: Soap Breathing support Swallow: I attention	te immediately wash promptly Respiratory Medical mmediately	Testing urine for dichloromethane (MDC) in the end of the shift	
¥ .*					Safety and	envi	ronment	al gui	idance	2		1	
_		Stor	age condition		of fire guisher			ı	Spill co	ontrol		Was	te disposal
caustics; chemi active metals si aluminum, magnesium por potassium & so	magnesium powders, potassium & sodium; concentrated nitric Keep the storage area cool. Ventilate along the				fire in the ings: all ning owed.	in so rem	ealable cor aining liqu love to safe	ntainer uid in s e place	rs as far sand or e. (Extra	t leaking ar r as possibl inert absor a personal p gases and	rbent and protection:	accordance	be disposed of in with federal, state wironmental ulations

Table 7: Data Sheet for Mineral Turpentine

			Toxic	c, Chem	ical	and Physic	cal proper	ties			
Туре	CAS number	LD50 and		Boiling point		Vapour pressure	Specific Flash gravity point		Flammability	Dipole moment	Solvency power (Hansen parameter)
Blend of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons. Composition varies with manufacture.	natic and natic cocarbons. position es with TCLo (inhaled, 600mg/m3/8H; LC50 (inhaled, 3400ppm/4H; E (human): 880pp		rat): 146-19		6-197ºC 25 pprox) mm Hg		0.80-0.86 g/cm 3	36-38°C (approx)	Flammable	(Not available)	15.8
					Heal	lth guidanc	ce				
Acute (I	mmediate)	effects	Chron (Delay	_		Target organ		ble limits osure	First a	id	Medical Surveillance
			effect	ts	affected		STEL	TWA			Surveillance
the respiratory tra aspiration into the pneumonitis. The s on the central nerv resulting in irritab impairment. Expos	The vapour is irritating to the eyes the skin and the respiratory tract. If this liquid is swallowed, aspiration into the lungs may result in chemical pneumonitis. The substance may cause effects on the central nervous system bladder kidneys, resulting in irritability, convulsions and kidney impairment. Exposure at high levels may result in tachycardia and unconsciousness death.					es, skin, spiratory stem, ntral rvous stem, lneys		100** 100*** 20****	Eye: Irrigate immediately Skin: Soap was promptly Breathing: Res support Swallow: Mediattention immediate	piratory	-
T					l env	vironment	al guidanc	e			
Incompatible chemicals	Stor	rage condition	Type of fir extinguish				Spill con	trol		Was	ste disposal
Strong oxidizers, chlorine, chromic anhydride, stannic chloride, chromyl chloride	oxidar mater See ch Keep t	ate from strong nts, incompatible ials emical dangers. che storage area nd well	Foam, dry powder, carbon dioxide	Cov non sou sub (Ext	-com rces. stanc tra po aratu		terial. Ventil th away into iners and re ection: self-c	ation. Remo sewer. Swee move to a sa contained br	fe place. eathing	accordance	t be disposed of in with federal, state nvironmental ulations

Table 8: Data Sheet for Acetone

				Tox	cic, Chemica	l and Phys	ical proper	ties			
Туре	CAS number		LD ₅₀ and LC ₅₀		Boiling point	Vapour pressure	Specific gravity	Flash point	Flammabili	ty Dipole moment	Solvency power (Hansen parameter)
Ketone	etone (67-64-1) ORAL (LD50): Acute: 5800 mg/kg [Rat]. 3000 mg/kg [Mouse]. 5340 mg/kg [Rabbit]. VAPOR (LC50): Acute: 50100 mg/m3 - 8 hours [Rat]. 44000 mg/m3 - 4 hours [Mouse].				56 ºC	180 mm Hg	0.79 g/cm3	-20 °C	Highly flammable	2.9 Debye	20.0
			Or t	,	He	alth guidar	ice	•			
	(Immediate	e)	Chronic (Delayed) e	effects	Target organ affected		ermissible limits of exposure STEL TWA		st aid	Medical S	urveillance
respirato may caus central n liver, kid	n of eyes and ory tract and se effects on ervous syste neys and testinal tract	the em,	Repeated or prolonged with skin may cause der The substance may have on the blood and bone r	rmatitis. e effects	Eyes, skin, respiratory system, central nervous system	1000* 750****	750* 1000** 250*** 500***	support Swallow: N	ely wash ely Respiratory	at the end of the	air for acetone at nift. ction Tests
					afety and e	nvironmen	tal guidanc	e			
	npatible micals		Storage condition		pe of fire inguisher			ll control		Waste	disposal
Oxidize	ers, acids	Fireproof, Powder resistar			alcohol- t foam, water amounts, lioxide	sealable sand or i place. Do wash aw	inert absorbe o NOT wash a vay with plen	Absorb remaent and remo way into severy ty of water (ining liquid in ove to safe	Waste must be disposed of in accordance with federal, state and local environmental control regulations	

Table 9: Data Sheet for Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA)

				Toxi	ic, Chemica	land	Physical	nroner	ties			
Туре	CAS number		LD ₅₀ and LC ₅₀	1011	Boiling point			Specifi gravity	c Flasl	Hammahilifu	Dipole moment	Solvency power (Hansen parameter)
Secondary Alcohol			10 mg/kg 82°C 0):		n	33 0.7 mm Hg g/cm		3 12.00	Highly flammable	1.7 Debye	23.5	
			<i>U.</i> U.		Hea	ılth gı	uidance					
Acute (In	ımediate) eff	Chronic (Delay effects	red)	Target organ	Perm	nissible li exposur		First aid		Medica	al Surveillance	
					affected	STI						
and the resp substance m the central m resulting in Exposure fa	ce irritates the piratory tract. In any cause effect are rous system depression. In above the Ohn unconscious	The cts on n,	The liquid defats t skin		Eyes, skin, respiratory system	500** 200**	*** 40	0*** 0***	Skin: Wa Breathir support	g: Respiratory Medical attentio	air and uri the metabo	ole blood, expired ne for IPA or for blites
				Sa	ifety and en	viron	mental	guidanc	e			
	patible nicals	Sto	rage condition		ype of fire ktinguisher			Sp	oill contr	ol	Was	te disposal
Strong oxidizers, acetaldehyde, chlorine, ethylene oxide, acids, isocyanates Fireproof Separated from strong oxidants. Keep the storage area cool, ventilated and closed				resistar	r, alcohol- nt foam, wate nounts, carbo	r in on	Absorb r absorber personal	emaining nt and ren	liquid in nove to sa on: filter r	able containers. sand or inert fe place. (Extra espirator for	accordance	be disposed of in with federal, state vironmental ılations.

Table 10: Data Sheet for Perchloroethylene (PCE)

				Toxic, Ch	emical	and Phy	sical	prope	erties	s				
Туре	CAS numbe	r	LD ₅₀ and LO		Boilin poin	g Vap	-		ific ity	Flash point			pole ment	Solvency power (Hansen parameter)
Chlorinated hydrocarbon	(127-18-	4) DERMAL mg/kg [Ra Acute: 34	29 mg/kg [R (LD): Acute: abbit]. MIST 200 mg/m 8 .C50): Acute	e: >3228		1ºC 14		1.62 g/cm 3		None	None 0 I		ebye	20.3
	l.				Hea	lth guida	ance	L				ı		
			Chroni	c (Delayed)		Target		missib	le lin	nits				
Acute (II	nmediate]) effects		effects		organ		of exposure			First aid		Med	ical Surveillance
_,						ffected		rel	TWA		Erro, Innicato			
The substance			Repeated of	_	es, skin,	100	****	100*		Eye: Irrigate		Testir		
skin and the re			contact wi		piratory			25**		immediately			chloroethylene	
Swallowing the aspiration into			cause dern substance	live	tem,					Skin: Water flush promptly			content in end- ed air in prior to	
of chemical pne			effects on		neys,					prompuy Breathing: Respira	toru	shift	ed all ill prior to	
substance may				his substance is		tral					support		Testir	ισ
central nervous				arcinogenic to		vous					Swallow: Medical			chloroethylene
high levels may		poodi o do	humans.	an ennogenie te		tem					attention immedia	telv		nt in blood in
unconsciousne														to shift
				Safety a	and en	vironme	ntal	guida	nce					
Incompat chemica		Storage co	ndition	Type of fi extinguisl	re					contro	1		Wa	aste disposal
	trong oxidizers; Separate from metals.										ng and spilled liqui	id ,	Nastan	nust be disposed of
	hemically-active See chemical dangers. In case of										ossible. Absorb			dance with federal,
	metals such as lithium, Keep away from food surroundi										absorbent and		state and	,
•	beryllium & barium; and feedstuffs. extinguish							•			this chemical enter	•		mental control
caustic soda; so		Keep in the da		agents allowe	d						protection: filter			
hydroxide; pot	ash	Ventilate alon	g the floor			respirate	or tor	organio	gase	s and v	apours).		regulations.	

^{*} Permissible Limits of Exposure (PLE) prescribed by Indian Factories Act, 1948

^{**} Permissible Exposure Limits (PEL) prescribed by Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), USA

^{***} Recommended Exposure Limit (REL) prescribed by National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), USA

^{****} Threshold Limit Value (TLV) recommended by American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH, 2008), USA